

Practical Experiences with the deployment of honeypots

**NoAH Workshop / TNC Catania
17 May 2006**

**DFN-CERT Services GmbH
Jan Kohlrausch / CSIRT**

- DFN-CERT: Computer Emergency Response Team for German research network (DFN).
- World-wide community of CERTs / CSIRTs.
- Constituency are mainly German universities and research institutes.

- Basic services of a typical CSIRT
 - Incident Response and Incident Coordination
 - Analysis of incident.
 - How was the host compromised?
 - Which sites are affected?
 - Did the attacker leave behind any malicious software (*malware*) or exploits on the compromised host?
 - Sending incident reports including compromised hosts to all affected sites (incident Coordination).
 - Providing support to constituent sites to resolve from the incident (Incident Response).

- Basic services of a typical CSIRT
 - Advisory service
 - Centralized announcement of vendor advisories (e.g. Microsoft security advisories).
 - Usually, updates or patches are provided.
 - Alerting service
 - Publication of alerts concerning
 - Zero-day exploits
 - Detection of new vulnerabilities (e.g. in common server programs or web-browsers).
 - Widespread exploitation of known vulnerabilities.

- Honeypots at the DFN-CERT
 - Participant of the *eCSIRT* and *leurre.com* projects.
 - Deployment of *nepenthes* sensors to capture known malware.
 - Use of sensor networks to collect netflow information.
 - Import and integration of this data into a relational database.
 - Support for incident handling service.
 - Identification of compromised systems.
 - Database allows to find correlations between incidents.
 - Compilation of statistics showing current situation.

- Current situation, what we **can** see:
 - Massive non-selective compromise of systems for building bot-networks.
 - Abuse of bot-networks for DDoS attacks and phishing attacks.
 - Vulnerable systems are identified by massive scanning activity (e.g. class-B networks).
 - Time interval from publication of vulnerability to exploit decreases constantly.
 - Number of zero-day exploits for unknown vulnerabilities increase constantly.
 - Web-browser and common server programs are investigated by black-hats for unknown vulnerabilities.

- A recent incident:
 - A German university detected a compromised user system connecting to a webserver.
 - Connection to webserver has been intercepted and redirected to a honeypot.
 - URL was extracted from HTTP request.
 - Investigation of compromised user system revealed installed Goldun/Haxdoor trojan:
 - Goldun monitors use of Internet Explorer and sends captured data (URLs, content of HTML-Forms, and Passwords) to central server.
 - Attacker can download trojan data from central server.
 - Captured URL allowed to access the trojan data.

- A recent incident:
 - Captured URL allowed to identify location of trojan Log-server
 - Trojan log-data included:
 - Ebay accounts and passwords.
 - Web-mail accounts and passwords.
 - Home-banking session data.
 - Large number of dial-in systems from german ISPs were affected.
 - Monitored users were warned in cooperation with universities, ISPs and other affected sites.

- Current situation, what is **difficult** for us to see at the moment:
 - Selective attacks:
 - Selective attacks do not leave behind any obvious traces are in general hardly detected.
 - No obvious network activity originates from compromised hosts.
 - Early deployment of zero-day exploits:
 - How to distinguish from known exploits?
 - Early deployment of zero-day exploits is nearly invisible in background noise!

- *Ecsirt, leurre.com, and Nepenthes:*
 - Deployment of widespread network of low-interaction honeypots.
 - Malware (e.g. trojans and exploit code) is automatically captured.
 - This provides help to track down IRC based bot networks.
 - Compilation of statistics concerning abuse of known vulnerabilities can be done.

- *Ecsirt, leurre.com, and Nepenthes:*
 - Advantage:
 - Identification of compromised systems:
 - IRC based Botnets
 - Known internet worms
 - Approaches are very effective concerning known vulnerabilities and non-selective attacks.
 - Disadvantage:
 - Detection of selective attacks and zero-day exploits is beyond the scope of these projects!
 - **That is the aim of the NoAH project!**

- NoAH's benefits for CSIRTs:
 - Detection of zero-day vulnerabilities
 - Tracking down selective attacks
 - Analysis of unknown exploit code
 - Analysis of potential vulnerabilities

- Detection of zero-day vulnerabilities:
 - Potential to cover a broad range of IP addresses in different networks.
 - Low-interaction components are used as relays to high-interaction honeypots.
 - Integration of CSIRTs, companies, ISPs, and home-users (*honey@home*) into the NoAH architecture.
 - Argos containment environment allows to generate accurate signatures for vulnerabilities and exploits.
 - Signatures and alerts can be distributed very quickly.

- Detection of selective attacks:
- Why?
 - Attacker is prepared and motivated to attack the target.
 - Attack will be more sophisticated compared to non-selective attacks.
 - Better chance to detect zero-day exploits.
 - Selective attacks have usually higher impact for the victim.

- How to attract an attacker?
 - Attractive can be services, position (IP address), DNS name, and bandwidth.
 - Webservice of honeypot can provide (faked) research results or other attractive data (Clifford Stoll's "*Cuckoo's Egg*").
 - Honeypot is located in network of company or research institute.
 - DNS name can pretend to be an attractive target (e.g. router, server).

- How to use NoAH's architecture to track down selective attacks:
 - Low-interaction components (relays) can be easily integrated into arbitrary networks.
 - High-interaction honeypots (e.g. argos) allow to provide real services (web server).
 - NoAH components can be deployed in sensitive networks with acceptable risk for the deploying site.
 - Honeypot data is analyzed at the NoAH core.
 - Deploying site do not need to spend effort into the analysis.
 - Results are distributed to the affected sites.

- Analysis of unknown exploit code:
 - Some products exist for monitoring malware at execution time (e.g. norman sandbox).
 - These products do not directly support the analysis of unknown exploit code:
 - Which vulnerability is being exploited?
 - Is the vulnerability already known?
 - Is the exploit working at all?

- Analysis of unknown exploit code:
 - Exploit code can be analysed and identified using argos:
 - Argos alert indicates successful application.
 - Exploit is detected before it gains control over the attacked machine.
 - Exploit code does not have to be fully working (e.g. due to wrong pointer offset).
 - Exploit can be identified by the corresponding argos signature.

- Analysis of potential Vulnerabilities:
 - My browser crashes, is this an unknown security problem?
 - Yes, if sensitive memory structures are overwritten by user data.
 - Argos can solve this problem:
 - Deploy the browser in the argos containment environment.
 - If argos raises an alert, a security problem can be expected.

- Thank you!
- Questions?